ORIGINAL

Before The FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION RECEIVED Washington, DC 20554

MAY - 5 1994

In the Matter of

Amendment of the Commission's Rules to Establish Rules and Policies Pertaining to a Mobile Satellite Service in the 1610-1626.5 MHz and 2483.5-2500 MHz Frequency Bands FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISS OFFICE OF SECRETARY

CC Docket No. 92-166

TECHNICAL APPENDIX

TO COMMENTS OF

LORAL/QUALCOMM PARTNERSHIP, L.P.

Volume II of II (Attachment 12)

John T. Scott, III William D. Wallace CROWELL & MORING 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20004 (202) 624-2500

Leslie A. Taylor LESLIE TAYLOR ASSOCIATES 6800 Carlynn Ave. Bethesda, MD 20817 (301) 229-9341

Attorneys for Loral/QUALCOMM Partnership, L.P.

Dated: May 5, 1994

RECEIVED

MAY - 5 1994

Before The FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

In the Matter of

Amendment of the Commission's Rules to Establish Rules and Policies Pertaining to a Mobile Satellite Service in the 1610-1626.5 MHz and 2483.5-2500 MHz Frequency Bands

CC Docket No. 92-166

TECHNICAL APPENDIX

TO COMMENTS OF

LORAL/QUALCOMM PARTNERSHIP, L.P.

Volume II of II (Attachment 12)



An Alliance Telecommunications Company

GLOBALSTAR

FEEDERLINK SPECTRUM SHARING STUDY

Prepared for

LORAL QUALCOMM SATELLITE SERVICES, INC.

May 2, 1994



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Several frequency bands were analyzed by Comsearch in order to evaluate the feasibility of sharing between existing services and Globalstar's feederlink-satellite communications. The following bands were analyzed for the downlink portion: 6425-6525 MHz, 6525-6875 MHz, 6875-7125 MHz, and 12.75 - 13.25 GHz. The uplink bands analyzed were: 6525-6875 MHz, 10.70 - 10.95 GHz, and 11.20 - 11.45 GHz.

The followed approach included studying the interference impact from the Globalstar relevant subsystems on existing terrestrial systems in selected geographical areas. The geographical areas' contours were circles with a 120 mile radii centered in major US cities. Comsearch proprietary databases were used to provide the necessary data. The selected data sets showed sufficient variation in antennas, modulation types, elevation angles, and fade margins. For the Auxiliary Broadcast electronic news gathering (ENG) and other TV pick-up operations, research was done to deduce typical systems' parameters.

The interference calculations were based on worst case scenarios. The satellite was always assumed to lie within the terrestrial antenna's main beam. The feederlink antenna did not assume elevation angles greater than 10 degrees, and assumed an azimuth range between 0 and 360 degrees. Upon calculating the predicted interference from a Globalstar satellite or a feederlink, the predicted values were compared to the interference objectives. The interference objectives for the downlink portion were based on the latest Electronic Industries Association's developments and standards. For digital radios, manufacturers' interference specifications were used. The uplink portion followed current FCC criteria in the equivalent common carrier bands.

Downlink sharing analysis with the Private-Operational Fixed Service (OFS) in the 6525 - 6875 MHz frequency band, were conducted for Salt Lake City, Washington DC, Chicago, San Mateo, and New Orleans. The results showed that 88.2 percent of the studied cases pass the interference criteria, while 11.8 percent require further refined computations over the worst case analysis conducted. The 6425 - 6525 MHz frequency band records were part of the analysis given in the 6525 - 6875 MHz frequency band, other than TV pick-up and ENG type operations.

The downlink studies in the 6875 - 7125 MHz frequency band were conducted for Columbus, Washington DC, Chicago, San Mateo, and New Orleans. The studies showed that 99.7 percent of the analyzed cases pass the short haul interference criteria, while 97.8 percent passed the long term interference criteria. The remaining cases, 0.3 percent of the total, did not pass the short haul criteria. The long haul remaining cases were 2.3 percent of the total. All remaining cases require further refined computations over the worst case analysis conducted. Four common ENG configurations were analyzed in the 6875 - 7125 MHz frequency band. Under complete fading conditions, all four configurations maintained acceptable performance with the presence of a Globalstar satellite.



The 12.75 to 13.25 GHz downlink studies were conducted for Tampa, Washington, DC, Chicago, San Mateo, and New Orleans, The analyses showed that the majority of the cases passing the short haul objectives. The few remaining cases require further refined computations. One ENG configuration was studied in this band. Under complete fading conditions, this configuration will maintain acceptable performance with the presence of a Globalstar satellite.

Overall, the downlink analyzed frequency bands reflect a reasonable expectation for sharing. With sound frequency coordination practices, similar to those existing today, and more detailed interference prediction involving the mobile satellites' exact position and the relevant interference duration, sharing may very well be possible. The improvement in interference prediction should limit overly protected cases, and should facilitate frequency planning.

The uplink studies in the 6525 - 6875 MHz, the 10.7 - 10.95 GHz, and the 11.2 - 11.45 GHz frequency bands, were conducted for two sites: Staten Island, NY, and Rapid City, South Dakota. The analysis showed considerably higher number of terrestrial paths in both frequency bands for Staten Island.

In the 6525 - 6875 MHz, 85.2 percent of the analyzed cases pass the interference objective at Staten Island, while 80 percent of the analyzed cases pass the same objective at Rapid City.

In the 11 GHz bands, 90 percent of the cases pass the interference objective at Staten Island, while 100 percent of the cases pass the same objective at Rapid City. For both analyses, in both bands, over-the-horizon-loss, and a 15 dB shielding factor were utilized to reduce the number of cases. The remaining cases require further detailed computations including the variation of the feederlink antenna's elevation angle beyond 10 degrees, thus reducing the expected power towards the horizon. The improved analysis should also include fixing the azimuth planes at the feederlink so that more directivity could be incorporated for the terrestrial antennas. These improved analyses should reduce remaining cases, and facilitate the frequency coordination process.

The uplink studies show a heavy dependence on the selected site's attributes. Terrestrial congested areas should be avoided. In general, with sound site selection, proper coordination practices, and further improved interference prediction calculations involving the interference duration and the instantaneous position of the feederlink antennas, sharing may very well be possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 General
- 1.2 Basis for Analysis
- 1.2.1 Downlink Frequency Bands
- 1.2.2 Uplink Frequency Bands
- 1.3 Constraints for Analysis

2.0 Methodology

- 2.1 Downlink
- 2.1.1 General
- 2.1.2 Derivation of the Required Interference Objectives for Downlink Analyses in the 6425-6525 MHz, 6525-6875 MHz, 6875-7125 MHz and 12.75-13.25 GHz Frequency Bands
- 2.1.2.1 Interference into FM/Video Systems
- 2.1.2.1.1 Short Haul C/I Objectives
- 2.1.2.1.2 Long Haul C/I Objectives
- 2.1.2.1.3 Medium Haul C/I Objectives
- 2.1.2.1.4 Summary of FM/Video Interference Objectives
- 2.1.2.2 C/I for Vestigial-Side-Band Amplitude Modulated (VSB-AM) Video
- 2.1.2.3 Interference Objectives for FM/FDM Systems-Applicable to the 6525-6875 MHz band
- 2.1.2.4 Interference Objective for Digital Systems-Applicable to All Bands
- 2.1.3 Derivation of Analyzed Power Levels
- 2.1.3.1 Maximum Coupling between Terrestrial Antenna and a Globalstar Satellite
- 2.1.3.2 Derivation of Interference Power and Carrier Power Levels
- 2.1.4 Database
- 2.1.5 Data Analysis and Determination of Carrier Power-to-Interference Power Levels
- 2.2 Uplinks
- 2.2.1 General

- 2.2.2 Prediction of Interference Cases
- 2.2.3 Resolution of Interference Cases

3.0 Results

- 3.1 Downlink Analysis Results
- 3.1.1 Private Operational Fixed Microwave 6525-6875 MHz Frequency Band
- 3.1.2 Downlink Analysis Results for Auxiliary Broadcast 6875-7125 MHz Frequency Band
- 3.1.3 Results of Auxiliary Broadcast, Cable Television Relay Services (CARS) Terrestrial Fixed Services in the 12750-13250 MHz Band
- 3.1.4 Results of ENG Analysis 6425-6525 MHz, 6875-7125 MHz, and 12.75-13.25 GHz Bands
- 3.1.4.1 Summary of Approach and Analysis
- 3.1.4.2 Summary of ENG Results
- 3.2 Uplink Analysis

4.0 Conclusions

- 4.1 Downlink Conclusions
- 4.1.1 Conclusion for Operational-Fixed Services in the 6525-6875 MHz Band
- 4.1.2 Conclusions for Auxiliary Broadcast Services (Point-to-Point Fixed) in the 6875-7125 MHz Frequency Band
- 4.1.3 Conclusions for Auxiliary Broadcast, Cable Television Relay Service (CARS) in the 12.75-13.25 GHz Band
- 4.1.4 Electronic News Gathering (ENG) or TV Pick-up in the 6425-6525 MHz, 6875-7125 MHz and 12.75-1325 GHz Frequency Bands
- 4.2 Uplink Conclusions
- 4.2.1 Private Operational Fixed Microwave Service 6525-6875 MHz Frequency Band
- 4.2.2 Common Carrier Terrestrial Microwave in the 10.7-10.95 GHz and 11.2-11.45 GHz Frequency Bands
- 4.3 Refinements of the Study
- Appendix A Downlink in Operational-Fixed Service Band 6525-6875 MHz
 - B Downlink in Auxiliary Broadcast Band 6875-7125 MHz
 - C Downlink in CARS/Auxiliary Broadcast Band 12.75-13.25 GHz
 - D Electronic News Gathering Systems Various Bands

E Uplink in Operational-Fixed Service Band 6525-6875 MHz F Uplink in Common Carrier Band 10.7-10.95 GHz and 11.2-11.45 GHz

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.2.1-1	Globalstar C-band Power Flux Density
Table 2.1.2.1-1	FM/Video Parameters
Table 2.1.2.1-4	Suggested C/I Protection Ratio for FM/Video Systems
Table 2.1.2.3-1	FM/FDM System's Parameters Used in Generating the C/I Objectives
Table 2.1.2.4-1	Typical Digital Microwave T/I (dB) Requirements
Table 2.1.4-1	Path Parameters
Table 2.1.5-1	Determination of Carrier-to-Interference Level (dB) Operational-Fixed
Table 2.1.5-2	Summary of Typical Interference Levels 6525-6875 MHz Frequency Band
Table 2.2.1-1	Earth Station Parameters
Table 2.2.2-2	Interference Conflicts
Table 2.2.3-1	Interference Case Summary
Table 3.1.1-1	Summary of Cases Anlayzed for the 6525-6875 MHz OFS Band
Table 3.1.1-2	Distribution of Unresolved Cases All Areas for 6525-6875 MHz OFS Band
Table 3.1.2-1	Point-to-Point Auxiliary Broadcast Results for Five Areas for the 6875-7125 MHz Auxiliary Band
Table 3.1.2-2	Distribution of Unresolved FM/Video Case for Long Haul and Short Haul Interference Objectives
Table 3.1.3-1	Case Summary for 5 Major Areas in the 12750-13250 MHz Band
Table 3.1.3-2	C/I Case Distribution for Five Major Areas in the 12750-13250 MHz Band
Table 3.1.4.2-1	Summary of ENG Results
Table 3.2-1	Summary of Interference Case for Uplink

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 2.1.2.1-1 Globalstar's Normalized Power Spectral Density Based on -164.5 dBW/4 kHz.
- Figure 2.1.2.3-1 Required C/I (dB) Protection Ratio for 2400 Channels FM/FDM.
- Figure 2.1.2.3-2 Required C/I (dB) Protection Ratio for 1800 Channels FM/FDM.
- Figure 2.1.2.3-3 Required C/I (dB) Protection Ratio for 900 Channels FM/FDM.
- Figure 2.1.2.3-4 Required C/I (dB) Protection Ratio for 780 Channels FM/FDM.
- Figure 2.1.2.3-5 Required C/I (dB) Protection Ratio for 600 Channels FM/FDM.
- Figure 2.1.2.3-6 Required C/I (dB) Protection Ratio for 480 Channels FM/FDM.
- Figure 2.1.2.3-7 Required C/I (dB) Protection Ratio for 300 (10 MHz) Channels FM/FDM.
- Figure 2.1.2.3-8 Required C/I (dB) Protection Ratio for 300 (5 MHz) Channels FM/FDM.
- Figure 2.1.2.3-9 Required C/I (dB) Protection Ratio for 120 Channels FM/FDM.

Appendix A - Operational - Fixed Service (OFS) (6525 - 6875 MHz)

- A1.0 Introduction
- A1.1 General
- A1.2 Basis of Analysis
- A2.0 Methodology
- A2.1 General
- A2.2 Interference Objectives
- A2.3 Power Flux Density (Interference Levels)
- A2.4 Applicable Interference Levels 6525 - 6875 MHz Operational-Fixed Microwave
- A3.0 Summary of Results
- A3.1 General
- A3.2 Areas Analyzed
- A3.2.1 Salt Lake City, Utah
- A3.2.2 Washington, DC
- A3.2.3 Chicago, Illinois
- A3.2.4 San Mateo, California
- A3.2.5 New Orleans, Louisiana
- A3.3 Summary of Results for Operational-Fixed Services 6525 6875 MHz Band

APPENDIX A TABLES (6525 - 6875 MHz)

- Table A2.3-1 C-Band Power Flux Density (PFD)
- Table A3.2.1-1 Case Summary for Salt Lake City, Utah 6525 6875 MHz OFS Band
- Table A3.2.1-2 Salt Lake City, Analog C/I Case Distribution
- Table A3.2.1-3 Salt Lake City, Digital Interference Case Distribution
- Table A3.2.1-4 Salt Lake City, FM/Video C/I Case Distribution
- Table A3.2.2-1 Case Summary for Washington, DC 6525 6875 MHz OFS Band
- Table A3.2.2-2 Washington, DC, Analog C/I Case Distribution
- Table A3.2.2-3 Washington, DC, Digital Interference Case Distribution
- Table A3.2.2-4 Washington, DC, FM/Video C/I Case Distribution
- Table A3.2.3-1 Case Summary for Chicago, Illinois 6525 6875 MHz OFS Band
- Table A3.2.3-2 Chicago, Illinois, Analog C/I Case Distribution
- Table A3.2.3-3 Chicago, Illinois, Digital Interference Case
 Distribution
- Table A3.2.3-4 Chicago, Illinois, FM/Video C/I Case Distribution
- Table A3.2.4-1 Case Summary for San Mateo, California 6525 6875 MHz OFS Band
- Table A3.2.4-2 San Mateo, California, Analog C/I Case Distribution
- Table A3.2.4-3 San Mateo, California, Digital Interference Case Distribution
- Table A3.2.4-4 San Mateo, California, FM/Video C/I Case Distribution
- Table A3.2.5-1 Case Summary for New Orleans, Louisiana 6525 6875 MHz OFS Band
- Table A3.2.5-2 New Orleans, Louisiana, Analog C/I Case Distribution
- Table A3.2.5-3 New Orleans, Louisiana, Digital Interference Case Distribution
- Table A3.2.5-4 New Orleans, Louisiana, FM/Video C/I Case Distribution
- Table A3.3-1 Summary of Analyzed Analog Cases for 5 Major Areas
- Table A3.3-2 Summary of Analyzed Digital Cases for 5 Major Areas
- Table A3.3-3 Summary of Analyzed FM/Video Cases for 5 Major Areas
- Table A3.3-4 Summary of Cases Anlayzed for the 6525 6875 MHz OFS Band
- Table A3.3-5 Distribution of Unresolved Cases All Areas for 6525 6875 MHz OFS Band

Attachment A3.1-1 Cases Analyzed

APPENDIX B - AUXILIARY BROADCAST BAND (6875 - 7125 MHz)

- B1.0 Introduction B1.1 General B1.2 Basis of Analysis B1.3 Constraints for Analysis B2.0 Methodology B2.1 General B2.2 Interference Objectives B2.3 Power Flux Density (Interference Levels) B2.4 Applicable Interference Levels 6875 - 7125 MHz Auxiliary Broadcast Band B3.0 Summary of Results B3.1 General B3.2 Areas Analyzed B3.2.1 Columbus, Ohio
- B3.2.4 San Mateo, California B3.2.5 New Orleans, Louisiana
- B3.3 Summary of Results for Auxiliary Broadcasting 6875 7125 MHz Band

LIST OF TABLES APPENDIX B

- Table B2.3-1 C-Band Power Flux Density (PFD)
- Table B3.3-1 Distribution of Unresolved FM/Video Cases for Long Haul and Short Haul Interference Objectives

Attachment B3.1-1 Case Analyzed

B3.2.2 Washington, DC B3.2.3 Chicago, Illinois

APPENDIX C CARS BAND/AUXILIARY BROADCAST (12750 - 13250 MHz)

- C1.0 Introduction
- C1.1 General
- C1.2 Basis of Analysis
- C1.3 Constraints for Analysis
- C2.0 Methodology
- C2.1 General
- C2.2 Interference Objectives
- C2.3 Power Flux Density (Interference Levels)
- C2.4 Applicable Interference Levels
 12750 13250 MHz CARS/Auxiliary Broadcast Band
- C3.0 Summary of Results
- C3.1 General
- C3.2 Areas Analyzed
- C3.2.1 Tampa, Florida
- C3.2.2 Washington, DC
- C3.2.3 Chicago, Illinois
- C3.2.4 San Mateo, California
- C3.2.5 New Orleans, Louisiana
- C3.3 Summary of Results CARS/Auxiliary Broadcat 12.75 - 13.25 GHz Band

LIST of TABLE APPENDIX C

- C2.3-1 Ku-Band Power Flux Density (PFD)
- C3.2.1-1 Summary of Cases Analyzed for Tampa, Florida 12.75 - 13.25 GHz CARS Band
- C3.2.1-2 Unresolved Case Summary Distribution for Tampa, Florida 12.75 - 13.25 GHz CARS Band
- C3.2.2-1 Summary of Cases Analyzed for Washington, DC 12.75 1325 GHz CARS Band
- C3.2.2-2 Unresolved Case Summary Distribution for Washington, DC
- C3.2.3-1 Summary of Cases Analyzed for Chicago, Illinois 12.75 - 13.25 GHz CARS Band
- C3.2.3-2 Unresolved Case Summary Distribution for Chicago, Illinois
- C3.2.4-1 Summary of Cases Analyzed for San Mateo, California 12.75 - 13.25 GHz CARS Band
- C3.2.4-2 Unresolved Case Summary Distribution for San Mateo, California
- C3.2.5-1 Summary of Cases Analyzed for New Orleans, Louisiana 12.75 - 13.25 GHz CARS Band
- C3.2.5-2 Unresolved Case Summary Distribution for New Orleans, Louisiana
- C3.3-1 Case Summary for 5 Major Areas in the 12750 13250 MHz
- C3.3-2 C/I Case Summary for Five Major Areas in the 12.75 13.25 GHz Band

APPENDIX D AUXILIARY BROADCAST ELECTRONIC NEWS GATHERING (ENG) ANALYSIS in the 6425-6525 MHz, 6875-7125 MHz and the 12.75-13.25 GHz BANDS

- D1.0 Introduction
- D2.0 ENG Configurations Analyses
- D2.1 Calculation of Carrier-to-Interference Power Ratio for ENG Configurations
- D2.2 Introduction of Fade Margin, Obstruction Losses and Rain Attenuation
- D2.3 ENG Configurations Performance
- D2.3.1 Configuration I
- D2.3.2 Configuration II
- D2.3.3 Configuration III
- D2.3.4 Configuration IV

ENG Not Summarized

APPENDIX E UPLINK PRIVATE OPERATIONAL-FIXED SERVICE (6525 - 6875 MHZ)

- E1.0 Introduction
- E1.1 General
- E1.2 Basis for Analysis
- E2.0 Methodology
- E2.1 Approach
- E2.2 Computer Analysis
- E3.0 Summary of Results
- E3.1 General
- E3.2 Analyzed Locations
- E3.2.1 Rapid City, South Dakota
- E3.2.2 Staten Island, New York

LIST OF TABLES APPENDIX E

- Table E2.1-1 Earth Station Parameters
- Table E2.2-1 Interference Conflicts Non-Congested Area
- Table E3.2.1-1 Interference Case Summary Rapid City, South Dakota
- Table E3.2.2-2 Site Shielding of 15 dB Considered

APPENDIX E UPLINK COMMON CARRIER BAND (10.7 - 10.95 GHz and 11.2 - 11.45 GHz)

- F1.0 Introduction
- F1.1 General
- F1.2 Basis for Analysis
- F2.0 Methodology
- F2.1 General
- F2.2 Computer Analysis
- F3.0 Summary of Results
- F3.1 General
- F3.2 Analyzed Locations
- F3.2.1 Rapid City, South Dakota Non-Congested Area
- F3.2.2 Staten Island, New York Congested Area

LIST OF TABLES APPENDIX F

Table	F2.1-1	Earth Station Parameters		
Table	F2.2-1	Interference Conflicts		
Table	F3.2.1-1	Interference Cases Summary Rapid City, South Dakota		
Table	F3.2.2-1	Interference Staten Island, New York		
		10.7 - 11.45 GHz		
Table	F3 2 2-2	Interference Summary Staten Island New York		

Table F3.2.2-2 Interference Summary Staten Island, New York
10.7 - 11.45 GHz after shielding advantage taken

MAIN REPORT

1.0 Introduction

1.1 General

This feasibility report provides the methodology, analyses and results of Comsearch's investigation into the possibility of the Globalstar low-earth-orbit feederlinks sharing frequency spectrum with existing fixed microwave services operationing in the United States.

The frequency bands considered for the downlink to the feeder earth station include:

- 6525 to 6875 MHz
- 6875 to 7125 MHz
- 12750 to 13250 MHz

The frequency bands considered for the uplink from the feeder earth station include:

- 6525 to 6725 MHz
- 10.7 to 10.95 GHz and 11.20 to 11.45 GHz

The main body of the report provides the basis for the study, the methodology, the derivation of the interference objectives, the calculations of potential interference and summarizes results and the conclusions reached from the analysis conducted in each band of interest.

The individual bands analyzed are documented in the Appendices as follows:

Appendix A: Downlink in Operational-Fixed Microwave Band (6525 to 6875 MHz).

Appendix B: Downlink in Auxiliary Broadcast Band (6875 to 7125 MHz).

Appendix C: Downlink in Cable Television Relay Service (CARS)/Auxiliary Broadcast Band (12750 to 13250 MHz).

Appendix D: Electronic News Gathering Systems Operating in 6425 to 6525 MHz, 6875 to 7125 MHz and 12.75 to 12.35 GHz bands.

Appendix E: Uplink in Operational-Fixed Microwave Band (6525 to 6875 MHz).

Appendix F: Uplink in Common Carrier Band

1.2 Basis for Analysis

The basis are given separately for the downlink and uplink analyses.

1.2.1 Downlink Frequency Bands

For the downlink analysis, the following criteria were used in the analysis.

- Site locations were selected in congested terrestrial microwave areas with varying terrain features and different climatezones. This ensures that a significant number of paths with a diverse variation of operational parameters are considered in areas with varying Radio Frequency (RF) propagation characteristics.
- Once the site locations were established, a geographic boundary was defined as a 120 mile square area centered at the city's reference coordinates (as listed in FCC Rule Part 76.53). All microwave paths operating within this boundary are considered in this analysis.
- The power flux density (PFD) of a Globalstar satellite signal varies as shown in Table 1.2.1-1 from a minimum of -173.5 dBW/m²/4 kHz to a maximum of -164.5 dBW/m²/4 kHz.

Elevation	PFD (dWB/m²/4 kHz)		
0	-173.5		
5	-171.5		
10	-169.5		
15	-168.5		
20	-166.5		
25	-165.5		
30	-164.5		
40	-164.5		
50	-164.5		
60	-164.5		
70	-164.5		
80	-164.5		
90	-164.5		
The PFD has over a 3 dB margin for uneven loading			
TABLE 1.2.1-1 Globalstar C-Band Power Flux Density (PFD)			

- The tilt or elevation angle of a terrestrial receive antenna was calculated. The tilt angle was then used to determine the applicable satellite's power flux density, PFD. When the terrestrial antenna is pointing downward, -173.5 dBW/m²/4 kHz and the main beam gain was applied.
- When the terrestrial antenna is pointing within 0 and 90 degrees, the PFD was evaluated at the terrestrial antenna tilt angle. If the tilt angle is not given by Table 1.2.1-1, logarithmic interpolation between the closest two points was used for 0-90 degrees tilt angles, the antenna vertical gain pattern was assumed (based on geometrical symmetry) to be same as the horizontal gain pattern. The gain pattern was determined to decrease much faster than the increase in the PFD as we move away from the antenna tilt angle. Thus, the main beam coupling constituted the largest resultant interference power.
- When a terrestrial receive station utilizes a periscope antenna system (consisting of a billboard reflector mounted above ground level which reflects the desired signal toward the ground where a parabolic antenna is pointed straight upward), the LEO satellite signal level was calculated twice. The first

method determines the satellite signal level into the terrestrial receiver when the satellite passes the horizon and illuminates the billboard at a PFD of approximately -173.5 dBW/m²/4 kHz. The second method determines the interfering carrier level when the satellite passes directly above the parabolic antenna that is pointed straight upward. Both interfering carrier levels were then compared to the appropriate interference objective to determine if the satellite signal level would satisfy the objective in both worst-case interference scenarios.

- For terrestrial systems utilizing billboard reflectors as passive repeaters, if the predicted LEO satellite signal level fails to meet or satisfies the prescribed interference criteria at the repeater location it is assumed that the criteria would continue to be missed or satisfied at the final receive station.
- This analysis utilizes the fact that a terrestrial microwave antenna will only be main beam illuminated by one Globalstar satellite at a time.
- The bandwidth of the terrestrial receiver is based upon typical receive filter bandwidths currently operating in the appropriate frequency bands and varies depending upon the transmitter's modulation. The following receiver bandwidths were used.
 - Analog (FDM/FM) systems, twice the emission bandwidth of the transmitter.
 - Digital Systems, 1.5 times the emission bandwidth.
 - Video Systems (AM and FM) the receiver's bandwidth is equal to the transmitter's bandwidth.
- The Globalstar satellite downlink signal consists of 13-1.23 MHz bandwidth signals occupying a 16.5 MHz bandwidth channel with 8 left hand circular polarized (LHCP) channels and 8 right hand circular polarized channels (RHCP) utilizing approximately 200 MHz of bandwidth.
- The critical angle for determining the interfering signal level into a terrestrial receive antenna from a LEO satellite is in the vertical plane. Since the vertical radiation patterns of terrestrial microwave antennas are not usually available from the antenna manufacturers, the following assumption is used in our analysis. It is assumed that due to the geometric symmetry of terrestrial parabolic antennas, the radiation pattern in the vertical plane (perpendicular to the ground) is equivalent to

the radiation pattern in the horizontal plane.

- Interference analysis is based on co-channel interference objectives.
- No geographic or man-made obstruction is assumed to exist between the terrestrial microwave antenna and the proposed LEO satellite.
- Currently applied for and deployed microwave systems were analyzed.
- The interference objective were primarily based on the Electronic Industries Association's standards.
- The utilized power spectral density is a uniform square density based on -164.5 dBW/4 kHz and a bandwidth of 16.5 MHz. For interference into digital receivers greater in bandwidth than 16.5 MHz, the power spectral density bandwidth was assumed infinite.

1.2.2 Uplink Frequency Bands

For the uplink frequency bands the following parameters were used in the analysis.

- Maximum Globalstar uplink power density into the antenna is -7.0 dBW/4kHz.
- The earth station antenna pattern conforms to $32-25\log\theta$ towards the horizon. This is the pattern used in the frequency coordination with terrestrial users as stated in Code of Federal Regulation 47 Part 25 Section 25.251(c)(4).
- Based on a minimum elevation angle to the low-earth-orbit satellite of 10 degrees an antenna discrimination angle equal to the elevation angle was selected to determine the earth station antenna gain towards the horizon of 7 dB (32 - 25 log10).
- The maximum power flux density from the earth station towards the horizon is 0 dBW/4kHz (-7 dBW/4 kHz + 7 dB).
- The proposed 3.4 meter antenna has a main beam gain of 45.4 dBi at 6.7 GHz.
- The antenna has a beamwidth of 0.8 degrees and 1.6 degrees at the 3 dB and 15 dB points respectively.
- The satellite arc considered was from 0 to 360 degrees with the minimum elevation angle of 10 degrees in all directions.
- The interference objective is -154 dBW/4 kHz for 20 percent of the time at 6.7 GHz. At 11 GHz -151 dBW/4 kHz for 20 percent of the time was used. This is based on the current procedures followed to coordinate geostationary earth stations which comply with Part 25 of the FCC rules.
- A coordination contour distance with a 250 kilometers radius was selected. All terrestrial microwave receivers within this contour were analyzed. The 250 km distance corresponds to the maximum coordination distance for a geostationary earth station operating with a minimum elevation angle of 10 degrees and with similar parameters as a LEO feederlink.
- Only great circle interference (GC) conflicts were considered in this report.
- The orbital mechanics and the relationship to the amount of time the earth station main beam is in the direction of the satellite were not considered.